## Current House Plan

Mean-Median Difference: 4.9\%


LRC House Preliminary Plan
Mean-Median Difference: 1.0\%


FDPA People's House Plan
Mean-Median Difference: 1.2\%


## Mean-Median Difference

The mean-median difference is a party's median vote share minus its mean vote share, across all of a plan's districts. For example, if a party has a median vote share of $45 \%$ and a mean vote share of $50 \%$, then the plan has a mean-median difference of $5 \%$ against this party. When the mean and the median diverge significantly, the district distribution is skewed in favor of one party and against its opponent. Conversely, when the mean and the median are close, the district distribution is more symmetric. (PlanScore, 2021)

1

## PlanScore

PARTISAN BIAS
1972
+1\% Republican
This metric indicates a balanced plan. Republicans would win $1.4 \%$ extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election.



PlanScore
1982

How Does This Plan Compare?

No consistent skew was found in favor of either party. This plan is more skewed than $10 \%$ of the enacted plans we have analyzed hypothetical, perfectly tied election.

## PARTISAN BIAS



Partisan bias is the difference between each party's seat share and $50 \%$ in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election. Learn more about Partisan Bias >

Seat Share at $50 \%$ of the Vote
+1\% Republican
This metric indicates a balanced plan. Republicans would win $0.8 \%$ extra seats in a
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PlanScore
1992

## PARTISAN BIAS

This metric indicates a balanced plan. Republicans would win $1.2 \%$ extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election.


PlanScore
2002

## PARTISAN BIAS

## +4\% Republican

Republicans would win $4.3 \%$ extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election.

How Does This Plan Compare?
This plan is more skewed than $47 \%$ of the enacted plans we have analyzed nationwide.


Partisan bias is the difference between each party's seat share and $50 \%$ in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election. Learn more about Partisan Bias )


5

## PlanScore

PARTISAN BIAS
2012

## +8\% Republican

Republicans would win $7.5 \%$ extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election.

## How Does This Plan Compare?

This plan is more skewed than $76 \%$ of the enacted plans we have analyzed nationwide.


Partisan bias is the difference between each party's seat share and $50 \%$ in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election. Learn more about Partisan Bias >

Seat Share at $50 \%$ of the Vote


## 2012: Current Plan

## +8\% Republican

Republicans would win $7.5 \%$ extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election.

How Does This
Plan Compare?
This plan is more skewed than $76 \%$ of the
enacted plans we have analyzed nationwide.


Partisan bias is the difference between each party's seat share and $50 \%$ in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election. Learn more about Partisan Bias >


## 2022: Preliminary Plan

## +2.5\% Republican

Republicans would win $2.5 \%$ extra seats ...
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## 2012: Current Plan

## +9\% Republican

Republicans would win $8.5 \%$ extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election.

## How Does This Plan Compare?

This plan is more skewed than $79 \%$ of the enacted plans we have analyzed nationwide.

Partisan bias is the difference between each party's seat share and $50 \%$ in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election. Learn more about Partisan Bias >

Seat Share at $50 \%$ of the Vote


## 2022: Preliminary Plan

## +3.8\% Republican

Republicans would win 3.8 \% extra seats . . .

Partisan Bias: 3.8\% R
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